

Power Set Size

September 6, 2010

We wish to prove that $|P(A)| = 2^{|A|}$. For example, if $A = \{0, 1\}$, then $P(A) = \{\{\}, \{0\}, \{1\}, \{0, 1\}\}$, and we have $|A| = 2$ and $|P(A)| = 4$, which could be a number of formulas (ie $n+2, n*2, n^2, 2^n$ where $n = |A|$). As discussed in class, the correct formula is 2^n . The key insight is to see that adding one element to a set doubles the size of the powerset.

Why is this? Again consider $A = \{0, 1\}$, with $P(A) = \{\{\}, \{0\}, \{1\}, \{0, 1\}\}$. Now let $B = A \cup \{2\}$ and consider $P(B)$. We can divide the elements of $P(B)$ into two groups: those that do not contain the element 2 and those that do contain 2. What are the subsets that don't contain 2. This is simply $P(A) = \{\{\}, \{0\}, \{1\}, \{0, 1\}\}$. What are the subsets that do contain 2: each subset that contains 2 can be formed by taking one of the subsets of $P(A)$ and adding a 2. This gives this set of subsets: $\{\{2\}, \{0, 2\}, \{1, 2\}, \{0, 1, 2\}\}$. It's easy to see that every subset in $P(A)$ can be used to form a single subset of $P(B)$ that contains a 2, and that each subset of $P(B)$ that contains a 2 comes from exactly one subset of $P(A)$, and so the number of subsets of $P(B)$ that contains 2 is the same as the number of subsets that do not contain 2. Therefore $|P(B)| = |P(A)| + |P(A)| = 2|P(A)|$.

Now we will use induction to prove that $|P(A)| = 2^{|A|}$ for any set A .

First, let $p(n)$ be the proposition that for any set A , if $|A| = n$, then $|P(A)| = 2^n$. We will prove by induction that $p(n)$ is true for all $n \geq 0$.

Base case: $n = 0$. For $A = \{\}$, we have $|A| = 0$ and $P(A) = P(\{\}) = \{\{\}\}$ and

so $|P(A)| = |\{\{\}\}| = 1 = 2^0 = 2^n$ where $|A| = n$. This proves that $p(0)$ is true.

Inductive case: $n > 0$. Assume the following Inductive Hypothesis (IH): for any $k \geq 0$, assume that $p(k)$ is true, that is, for any set A , if $|A| = k$ then $|P(A)| = 2^k$. Now we must prove that the IH implies that $p(k + 1)$ is true, that is, for any set B , if $|B| = k + 1$ then $|P(B)| = 2^{k+1}$. Now let B be a set with $|B| = k + 1 > 0$. Now for any $x \in B$ we can find the set $A = B - \{x\}$ which gives $B = A \cup \{x\}$ and $x \notin A$. Now consider the set $P(B)$. The elements of $P(B)$ can be divided into 2 groups: those that contain x and those that do not. Those that do not contain x are all of the members of $P(A)$. Also, each of those that do contain x can be formed from exactly one member of $P(A)$, and each member of $P(A)$ can be used to form exactly one element that does contain x . Thus we have $|P(B)| = |P(A)| + |P(A)| = 2 \cdot |P(A)|$. But by construction, $|A| = k$, and so by the IH, $|P(A)| = 2^k$ and so we have $2 \cdot |P(A)| = 2 \cdot 2^k = 2^{k+1}$. Thus we have $|P(B)| = 2^{k+1}$, and since $|B| = k + 1$, we have proved that for any $k \geq 0$, the IH implies that $p(k + 1)$ is true. That is, we have proved that for any $k \geq 0$, if $p(k)$ is true, then $p(k + 1)$ is also true.

Therefore, from the base case and the inductive case, we conclude by induction that $p(n)$ is true for all $n \geq 0$, which is what was to be proved.

As an interesting final exercise, try to put one of the other formula (eg $2n$) into the proof and see that it fails. Finally, note the ligature in the previous sentence.